Schmidt, Jacob

From:	Schmidt, Jacob
Sent:	Tuesday, October 13, 2020 1:40 PM
То:	Angelo Vittorini
Subject:	RE: Tatoo Parlor Go Go Center

Good afternoon Angelo,

I've done some research regarding your reference of a meeting, and found a project from 2013 where landscape improvements were required within the parking lot. Upon our Staff inspection of the site for the current project, it appears the required landscape improvements were installed, as there were no code violations identified in that area of the property. Only the aforementioned screening was identified as non-compliant, and we are working with the property owner to address that issue. There were no conditions related to signage within the 2013 approval ordinance.

With respect to your concern regarding the mall being built "one unit too far on the east side", the mall observes required setback requirements. The subject property is zoned B-2, and within the B-2 District where a side lot line coincides with a side or rear lot line of a property in an adjacent residential district, a yard shall be provided along such side lot line. Such yard shall be equal in dimension to the minimum side yard, which would be required under Zoning Code for a residential use on the adjacent property in the residential district. Per Mt. Prospect Zoning Code, the properties abutting the shopping center have a required side yard setback of 10 feet, and the shopping center is set back 30.85 feet from the property line, exceeding the code-required setback for a side or a rear yard. These requirements would be in effect whether or not homes were built, as the requirement is based upon the zoning designation of the abutting property. Given your statement that your home was built prior to construction of the shopping center, this requirement was observed at the time of construction.

Regarding your concern of noise, the proposed tattoo parlor would conduct all operations indoors, and does not utilize equipment that would be audible outside of the building envelope. Additionally, a maximum of 3 clients would be present at any given time, with 3 artists performing work. As such, the potential for noise generation from this use is minimal. With respect to other users within the shopping center, Arlington Heights defines noise pollution as any disruptive noise generated outside of what is generally considered normal business hours. If operations are taking place late at night, let me know and I'm happy to forward your complaint for code enforcement.

With respect to signage, the subject business will likely have similar signage to other tenants within the mall, within the allowances of Sign Code. I reviewed the pictures you provided with our Design Planner, and he also did not identify any code violations. With respect to the ground sign, the owner is under no legal obligation to move the sign per Village Code. Signage is only required to be removed within 30 days of the discontinuance of the business on the premises. Otherwise, any legal conforming or non-conforming sign can be maintained in perpetuity within code allowances.

With respect to your concerns regarding speeding, I urge you to reach out to our Police Department with any concerns, and any incidences of reckless driving or emergencies should be reported immediately by dialing 911. The Village of Arlington Heights does not utilize pole mounted speed cameras.

With respect to the meeting, as noted in the public notice letters and signage information on the meeting and how to participate is available on the Village website, vah.com. The direct link to the agenda is https://arlingtonheights.novusagenda.com/agendapublic/MeetingView.aspx?MeetingID=2093&MinutesMeetingID=-1&doctype=Agenda. The link to the meeting, as well as instructions on how to participate in the meeting, can be accessed within the agenda.

Best,

From: Angelo Vittorini Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 11:44 AM To: Schmidt, Jacob Subject: Re: Tatoo Parlor Go Go Center

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Village of Arlington Heights email system -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Jacob years ago at least 7 or 8 there was a meeting with the Village that this maul was supposed to do a better job with the site I realize that has all been forgotten so I am not sure where we go with that. I believe this maul was able to go one unit too far on the east side of the maul because at the time homes weren't build on this culdesac and along the west side of this subdivision that maul got away with it. At one-time t were to be offices at that corner like across the street. Please note I rerouted my sump pump discharge because of the decline with there ground level and lack of easement flooding their property I didn't have to but I did.

Back to the business at hand the Tattoo Parlor this maul gets pretty noisy for me mainly and others between the marshall arts kids doing their stuff in the back of my house cars throughout the night conversations that can get loud from time to time.. The best thing that happens to me is that Lovers Lane Sign left this maul. So what kind of a sign are they going to have? When is this maul sign going to be moved to the west side of the maul like it should have been from the start the owners advised me it might be happening.

However, the Racing of Cars and I mean racing 75 to 80 mph on Golf Rd. the Village of Arlington Heights not caring what happens along this road and I mean not caring at all if the Village Police have decided not to bother with it at least put up a speed camera. the Village will make lots of \$\$\$

Please count me in I have advised others in the area they will be reaching out to you.

Please be sure to send me a link for the zoom

Thank You

On Tue, Sep 29, 2020 at 3:33 PM Schmidt, Jacob wrote:

Good afternoon Angelo,

Thank you for your feedback regarding the Space Cat Tattoo project.

With respect to fencing, I would like to let you know that the Village has already reached out to the property owner of the mall regarding deficient screening, and is working with the owner to bring the mall screening into compliance with code.

With respect to lighting, I'm afraid I need some more information on the nature of your concern in order to properly address it. The Village does not allow exposed neon lights, and the site does not have any. There is also no signage on the eastern façade of the building – the only signage I've observed is on the southern façade facing Golf Road. If you provide pictures, I'd be happy to review them to determine if there is any code violation taking place, and refer the matter to code enforcement expeditiously should there be a violation. Please bear in mind that commercial buildings are permitted to have internally illuminated signage.

Best regards,

Jake Schmidt, Assistant Planner

Village of Arlington Heights

Planning and Community Development Department

From: Angelo Vittorini Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2020 3:09 PM To: Schmidt, Jacob Subject: Tatoo Parlor Go Go Center

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Village of Arlington Heights email system -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hello, my name is Angelo Vittorini I have backed up to this maul for the last 34 years and I bought my property about 36 years ago when it was originally zone to do an office complex like across the street.

The Village hasn't held this maul accountable at all not even requesting them to install a site fence .

Some ten years ago or so during a storm there fence split my fence to the point it cost me about \$ 6,000.00 for a new fence.

Due to the fact, the Village allows Neon Lites that shine in my windows a sign that should have been put on the west side of the maul and not the east side more lights shining at me.

Homes lose value because of this maul however the tax burden is still there like its a normal lot.

So now they have a tattoo parlor.

Why not put this on Campbell Street

The Village gives a damm about Golf Rd.

Please count me in on the zoom call.on Oct 14

Angelo Vittorini

8