

| Question  | Answer   |
|---|--|
| In reference to the attached RFQ, please discuss<br>Velosimo's experience supporting client<br>integrating utility billing service requests with<br>work orders (RFQ Section 4.2). Does your team<br>have experience performing a similar scope of<br>services were utility billing service requests<br>originating from a CIS/311/UB system that were<br>passed to Cityworks to accomplish the business<br>objectives outlined? If so, please describe and<br>provide a reference contact information. | Velosimo has engaged with an agency to provide<br>an integration connector between a utility billing<br>system and asset management system<br>(Springbrook to Cityworks) to accomplish similar<br>business objectives the Village has identified.<br>This agency is currently in its final stages of<br>testing, but have not had the opportunity to use it<br>in production yet. Velosimo also has live<br>customers with asset management to non-utility<br>service request integration connectors<br>(Cityworks to PublicStuff). Public Stuff<br>submission data is automatically transferred to<br>new work orders in Cityworks AMS. Work order<br>updates in Cityworks are then automatically<br>reflected in Public Stuff. For more information,<br>we are happy to share the reference below:<br>John Stinson, Sr. IT Manager<br>City of Durham, NC<br>john.stinson@durhamnc.gov<br>Additionally, we are happy to suggest an<br>additional customer to speak with regarding the<br>overall experience partnering with Velosimo:<br>Amy Shackelford, Sr. Business Analyst<br>Town of Queen Creek, AZ<br>amy.shackelford@queencreekaz.gov |
| The Village provided the API Enterprise Service<br>Request (ESR) documentation from Tyler<br>Technologies to Velosimo. The Village's RFQ<br>Section 4.1 outlines the integration proposed for<br>non-utility billing service requests. Please<br>confirm that Velosimo will deliver a functioning<br>connector supporting steps #5 and #6 based<br>upon the Tyler's ESR API capabilities to receive<br>service request status updates from Cityworks.   | Velosimo can create an integration connector that<br>uses Cityworks Action Manager events on<br>Service Request status updates within Cityworks<br>to pass events to Velosimo. Velosimo will then<br>trigger the appropriate processes in both<br>Cityworks and Tyler 311.<br>If labor costs exist, Velosimo will create the<br>associated work order from the service request<br>details.<br>We assume we can pass the necessary<br>information back to Tyler 311 based on the API<br>documents received. The Tyler 311 API states it<br>is primarily designed for new requests and<br>queries, but there is an endpoint named<br>"ExternalTaskStatusUpdate". We assume this  |



|   | accepts Service Request updates from external systems such as Velosimo.  |
|---|--|
|   | Having those updates then appear in MyCivic is<br>not something the API discusses. Velosimo<br>assumes updating the data in Tyler 311 will allow<br>the My Civic application to access and present<br>that data.   |
|   | If additional Velosimo flows are required to make<br>the data available in MyCivic, we will provide<br>those flows as a part of the productized<br>integration connector, assuming Tyler provides an<br>API for those updates.   |
| Would Velosimo use the Village's RFQ Section 4:<br>Technical Scope of Work as the basis for its<br>statement of work and managed integration<br>services?   | Velosimo does not build our connectors using<br>SOW style engagements. SOWs limit our ability<br>to be flexible to build the product the Village<br>wants and needs.   |
|   | We will create the Product Design Specification,<br>which provides full details on what we will build<br>for the integration connector, based specifically<br>on Section 4 Technical Scope from the RFQ. The<br>features and functions defined in the Product<br>Design Specification is what Velosimo is<br>committing to deliver to the Village.   |
| Would Velosimo consider a deliverables-based<br>payment structure for each connector<br>proposed? Please propose a payment structure<br>based upon the successful delivery of each<br>connector. Discuss what happens if a connector<br>cannot achieve the expected scope outcomes<br>defined in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 following design,<br>build, and testing activities. | Velosimo is a software company and does not<br>provide a deliverables-based payment structure.<br>However, we would be willing to work with the<br>Village to provide alternate terms. Since<br>Velosimo will be immediately investing resources<br>into the development & build, we propose a<br>50/50 payment structure. This would include<br>50% of the subscription fee upon contract<br>signing and the remaining 50% of the<br>subscription fee upon user acceptance testing.<br>Once invoices are delivered, standard payment<br>terms are net 30 via ACH. |
|   | Velosimo's strategy is to be an agency's<br>integration partner, not just a vendor. Our team is<br>dedicated to delivering integrations that meet an<br>agency's scope and needs, and will work<br>together to troubleshoot, enhance and test the<br>integration to satisfaction. Agreement contains<br>termination language in the unlikely event such<br>action is required.   |